
FY14 Provider Scorecard

Technical Specifications

Department of Behavioral Health
Office of Accountability

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Scorecard Overview	3
Scorecard Sections	
Quality	4
Financial	6
Accreditation/Certification	9
Overall Score	10

Introduction

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) Provider Scorecard highlights Core Services Agencies (CSAs) that perform well through adherence to agency, District and federal standards, while also highlighting opportunities for provider and system improvement. It makes accessible valuable information for consumers of community mental health services in the District as they seek out helpful sources to make informed choices about where to get community mental health care that best meets their needs. More broadly, the document serves as a lens of scrutiny and transparency available to the general public and for the residents of the District of Columbia on CSA performance.

DBH works closely with CSAs to ensure that they are providing quality services to their consumers. As part of that effort, DBH assesses community mental health best practices, adherence to 22 DCMR A34, Mental Health Rehabilitation Services (MHRS) Provider Certification Standards and compliance with DBH policy requirements by the CSAs within our system. These data sources allow DBH to compile the Provider Scorecard. In compiling the Scorecard, DBH utilizes programmatic expertise and data collection and analysis techniques to create and present a useful process performance document, that includes the Overall Score and 'star rating' afforded to each CSA.

The remainder of this document details the methodology and specifications for the different items that make up the Provider Scorecard.

Provider Scorecard Overview:

The Provider Scorecard comprises two sections plus a bonus section that awards points for evidence of National Certification or Accreditation in Healthcare Quality. The **Quality** section is worth 110 points, the **Financial** section is worth 90 points, and the **Accreditation/Certification** bonus section is worth an additional 5 points.

Review Period:

The FY14 DBH Provider Scorecard is based on data elements from fiscal year 2014 (October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014) except in the case of the MHRS Claims Audit. Since claims audits are conducted retrospectively the claims audit results used for the Scorecard will be the most recent fiscal year audit completed. The FY13 MHRS Claims Audit results will be used for the FY14 Provider Scorecard.

Quality Section

The Quality section consists of the following domains.

A. Quality Review Score:

Description: The final adult or child Quality Review score, or the mean average of the two scores for CSAs that serve both adults and children.

Scoring/Calculation: 0-90 points (see FY14 Quality Review - Technical Specifications).

B. Corrective Action Plans (CAPs)

Description: DBH Office of Accountability (OA) issues Corrective Action Plans to CSAs where non-compliance has been identified. DBH expects a response to, and implementation of, a Corrective Action Plan within the time frame specified.

Scoring: CSAs will receive 0 or 7 points for this element, in two different areas worth 4 and 3 points respectively. Agencies with no CAPs will get 7 points for the combined elements.

1. Corrective Actions given: This item will be proportionally scored with a maximum of six points based on the number of CAPs given to an agency.

Calculation:

4 = No CAPs issued to Agency

3 = One CAP issued to Agency

2= Two CAPs issued to Agency

0= Three or more CAPs issued to Agency

2. Implementation Status of Corrective Actions: This indicator measures whether a CAP has been implemented as described in the CAP.

Calculation:

3= CAP completely implemented or No CAPs issued

2= CAP Partially implemented

1= CAP not implemented or unacceptably implemented

C. Quality Improvement Initiative Participation – 3 points

Description: Submission of requested information regarding CSA internal claims auditing processes by the specified deadline.

Scoring/Calculation: CSAs will receive a value of 0 or 3 points. Points are awarded according to the following compliance criteria.

Submitted internal claims audit results	3
Did not meet deadline	0

D. Compliance with the LOCUS/CALOCUS policy

Description: DBH Policy 300.1D Level of Care Utilization System (LOCUS/CALOCUS) Evaluations sets forth procedures to ensure that the level of care (LOC) for all consumers who are enrolled in a CSA are appropriately determined and guidelines for the type of interventions are identified. Section 6a of the policy outlines the circumstances in which a CSA shall complete a LOCUS/CALOCUS. This indicator measures compliance with section 6a (2) for consumers in continuing treatment at a CSA. Section 6a(2) states “Consumers in a continuing treatment in a CSA shall have a LOCUS or CALOCUS evaluation completed by the CSA at a minimum of every 180 days (every 6 months) in concert with the IRP/IPC planning process and update of level of functioning.

Scoring: CSAs will receive a value between 0 and 5 points based on the CSA’s LOCUS/CALOCUS compliance rate, where 0= 0% compliance and 5=100% compliant.

Calculation: This is determined by review of the each CSAs percentage of compliance with LOCUS/CALOCUS data entry for FY14 and multiplying that percentage by 5.

Example: A compliance rate of 75% is equal to $(.75) \times 5 = 3.75$
80% is equal to $(.80) \times 5 = 4$
20% is equal to $(.20) \times 5 = 1$

Data Source: - The DBH LOCUS/CALOCUS Summary Report for Active Consumers will be used to measure a CSAs compliance rate.

E. Compliance with the GAIN-SS policy

Description: In summary, DBH Policy 200.4A ‘Screening for Co-occurring Substance Abuse Disorders’, implemented November 2012, requires that all consumers aged 10 or above presenting for intake shall receive at minimum an initial mandatory screening for co-occurring substance abuse disorders using the GAIN-SS, administered by the clinical home CSA (unless ACT or CBI provider), and subsequently as part of the treatment planning process every 180 days, and at additional clinically determined junctures. Therefore, an updated GAIN-SS should be present at minimum every 180 days.

Scoring/Calculation:

CSAs will receive a value between 0 and 5 points. Points are awarded according to the following compliance rates.

Pass Rate %	Points
70>	5
60-69	4
50-59	3
40-49	2
30-39	1
<30	0

Total Quality Section Score: This score is a sum total of each domain in the Quality Section, with a total of 110 points possible.

Quality Section	Points
Overall Quality Review Score	0-90
Corrective Action Plans (CAP)	0-4
Implementation Status of CAP	0-3
LOCUS/CALOCUS COMPLIANCE	0-5
GAIN-SS Compliance	0-5
Quality Initiative Participation	0-3
Max. Points Possible	110

Financial Section

This section incorporates the latest FY13 MHRS Claims Audit results, as well as the presence of an Operational Compliance Plan, CSA compliance with submitting required financial statements, CSA compliance with screening for third-party liability, whether the CSA is conducting staff exclusion checks and CSA internal auditing system.

A. Claims Audit Results:

Description: DBH Policy 911.1C Claims Audits sets forth procedures for auditing the documentation of certified providers to determine the presence of required information that supports the billing for MHRS. The policy states that DBH shall perform regular audits of all certified providers to ensure compliance with MHRS standards and applicable District and federal regulations. DBH conducts claims audits of each provider with submitted claims during a fiscal year. The audit is conducted to determine whether the provider has sufficient and correct documentation to support payment of specific claims for the provision of services.

Scoring: This indicator measures a CSAs compliance with DBH documentation and billing procedures as determined by the claims audit process. The claims audits determine a pass rate for the CSA and a partial pass rate. These rates will be used to determine the points with a range possible of 0-50 points.

Calculation: (The CSA's FY13 claims audit pass rate) + (50% of The CSA's FY13 claims audit partial pass rate) X 50

Example pass rate is 75% and partial pass rate is 10%
 $.75 + (.10/2) \times 50 = 40$ points

Example pass rate is 35% and partial pass rate is 10%
 $.35 + (.10/2) \times 50 = 20$ point

B. Operational Compliance Plan

1. Compliance Plan Description: Chapter 34 Subsection 3410.38 states that each MHRS provider shall establish and adhere to a Corporate Compliance Plan for ensuring compliance with applicable federal and District laws and regulations and that the CSA must submit the Corporate Compliance Plan to DMH OA for review and approval. According to the regulation, the Compliance Plan shall:

- a. Designated an officer or director with responsibility and authority to implement and oversee the Corporate Compliance Plan
- b. Require all officers, directors, managers and employees know and understand its provisions
- c. Include procedures designed to prevent and detect potential or suspected abuse and fraud in the administration and delivery of MHRS;
- d. Include procedures for the confidential reporting of violation of the Corporate Compliance Plan to DMH, including procedures for the investigations and follow-up of any reported violations,
- e. Ensure that the identities of individuals reporting suspected violations of the Corporate Compliance Plan are protected and that individuals reporting suspected violations, fraud, or abuse are not retaliated against.
- f. Require that confirmed violations of the Corporate Compliance Plan be reported to DMH within twenty-four (24) hours confirmation and
- g. Require any confirmed or suspected fraud and abuse under state or federal law or regulation be reported to DMH.

Scoring: This indicator measures the CSA's compliance with the required submission/implementation/operationalization of a compliance program. CSA's will get 0, 10, or 20 points for this element. A CSA that does not have an Operational Compliance Program will get 0 points. A CSA that has a partially implemented Compliance Program will get 10 points, and a CSA that has a fully operational Compliance Program will receive a score of 20 points.

Data Source: Most recently completed Medicaid Integrity or recertification review results and/or submission of quarterly compliance committee minutes that shows evidence listed above or annual compliance report from the CSA that shows evidence of criteria listed above.

Calculation: CSAs that can show evidence of an Operational Compliance Plan/Program will get 20 points. Those CSAs that show a partially implemented program will get 10 points. Those CSAs that do not have an Operational Compliance Plan/Program will get 0 points.

C. Submission of Required Financial Documents:

Description: Chapter 34 Subsection 3411.9 states that each CSA shall have an annual audit by a certified public accounting firm, and a copy of the resulting audit report shall be submitted to DMH within 120 days after the close of the CSA's fiscal year.

Scoring: This indicator measures if the CSA is in compliance with Chapter 34 Subsection 3411.9.

Data Source: The data source is report from DMH finance office indicating whether a CSA has or has not submitted the required annual audit report.

Calculation: CSAs will receive 0 or 5 points for this element. Agencies who have submitted necessary documentation will get 5 points. Agencies who have not submitted necessary documentation will get 0 points.

D. Third-Party Liability (TPL) screening:

Description: By law, the Medicaid program is the payer of last resort. In general, if a potentially liable third party (insurance) exists, providers shall attempt to ensure that the mental health provider bills the third party first before submitting the claim for Medicaid reimbursement. DMH Policy 913.1 Third Party Liability (TPL) sets forth procedures to ensure billing of third policy insurance before using public funds in providing mental health services.

Scoring: This indicator measures whether CSAs are conducting third party liability (insurance coverage) screenings on enrolled consumers.

Data Source: Most recently completed Medicaid Integrity or recertification review results and/or quarterly report from the CSA.

Calculation

CSAs will receive 0 or 5 points for this element CSAs that are determined by the Medicaid Integrity review or recertification to be fulfilling the requirement to screen for TPL will get 5 points. Agencies that are not fulfilling the requirement to screen for TPL will get 0 points.

E. Exclusion Checks:

This element measures a CSA's compliance with Chapter 34 Subsection 3410.37 and DMH Policy 716.6A regarding screening staff for eligibility to participate in federal health care programs and to contract with the District of Columbia government.

Chapter 34 Subsection 3410.37 states each MHRS provider shall operate accordingly to all applicable federal and District laws and regulations relating to fraud and abuse in healthcare, the provision of mental health services, and the Medicaid program. Each MHRS provider shall:

- (c) Ensure that none of its practitioners have been excluded from participation as a Medicaid or Medicare provider and, if a practitioner is determined to be excluded by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), notify DMH immediately.

DMH Policy 716.6A, Screening for Eligibility to Participate in Federal health Care Programs and to Contract with the District of Columbia Government requires DMH contractors to screen all contractors, subcontractors, employees and covered persons for eligibility to participate in federal health care programs and to contract with the District of Columbia government. Subsection 4d of this policy refers to three exclusion lists that CSAs are required screen their staff against.

Scoring: Providers are required to check for staff members who are “excluded” from participation in a federal health care program as found on the Department of Health and Human Services “List of Excluded Individuals/Entities” (Chapter 34, 3410.37(c)).

Data Source: Latest Medicaid Integrity, or recertification, review tool and process results and or quarterly report from the CSA.

Calculation: CSAs will receive 0 or 5 points for this element. CSAs that are determined by the Medicaid Integrity review or recertification to be fulfilling their requirement to check for excluded individuals will get 5 points. Agencies that fail to check for excluded individuals will get 0 points.

- F. Internal Auditing System:** Chapter 34 Subsection 3410.38 (c) states that each MHRS provider should have procedures designed to prevent and detect potential or suspected abuse and fraud in the administration and delivery of MHRS.

Scoring: This indicator measures whether CSAs have an operational internal auditing system

Data Source: Latest Medicaid Integrity, or recertification, review tool and process results and/or quarterly report from the CSA.

Calculation: CSAs that are determined in the Medicaid Integrity or recertification review to have an operational internal auditing process will get 5 points. CSAs that are not found to have an internal auditing process will get 0 points.

Total Financial Section Score: This score will be determined by adding all elements from the financial section with a total possible of 90 points for this section:

Financial Section	Points
FY13 Audit Pass rate X 50	0-50
Operational Compliance Plan	0-20
Submission of Required Financial Documents	0-5
Screening for Medi-Medi TPL	0-5
Exclusion Checks	0-5
Internal Audit System	0-5
Max. Points Possible	90

National Accreditation/Certification Section: DBH recognizes that agencies that have a national accreditation have made an organizational investment and commitment to meet high quality consumer service and business standards and practices.

Scoring/Calculation: 5 points will be awarded when a CSA demonstrated a current and valid national certification for their mental health program by a national organization such as the Joint Commission, Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF), or the Council on Accreditation (COA).

Data Source: Submission of documentary evidence.

Overall Score

The formula for the Overall Score for the Provider Scorecard is calculated as follows.

$$(\text{QD}) + (\text{FD}) / 2 + (\text{Accred./Cert})$$

Example:

Quality Section score out of 110.....85
Financial Section score out of 90.....75
Accreditation/Certification Section.....5

Overall Score.....(85+75) / 2 + 5 = 85

Overall Score Translation: Each CSA will be assigned a rating between 0 and 5 stars based on their overall score. Zero (0) stars will equate to an agency that is substantially underperforming by the Department's standards and in need of immediate corrective action. Five (5) stars will equate to an optimally performing agency. See legend for point and star values.

Overall Score	Stars
95 +	☆☆☆☆☆
90 - 94	☆☆☆☆
85 – 89	☆☆☆
80 – 84	☆☆
75 – 79	☆
<74	No Stars Earned

Note: Scores are rounded using basic rounding principles